

## 11.2 Supplemental Antioxidant Nutrients: Parenteral Selenium

April 2013

**2013 Recommendation:** *The use IV/PN selenium supplementation, alone or in combination with other antioxidants, should be considered in critically ill patients.*

**2013 Discussion:** The committee noted that with the evidence from 7 new trials (Lindner 2004, El Attar 2009, González 2009, Andrews 2011, Manzanares 2011, Valenta 2011 and Heyland 2013), there was a significant treatment effect of selenium supplementation with respect to reduced infections. The small effect on mortality (was a trend) disappeared and this remain unchanged after the exclusion of one small study that had poor methodological quality (Kuklinski 1991). The committee expressed concern regarding the heterogeneity in the trial designs, patient populations, and dosing ranges in the critically ill population. Subgroup analyses suggested that high dose selenium monotherapy with a bolus administration may have the greatest treatment effect but clinical recommendations on these subgroup results are not warranted at this point. Given the signal of reduced infections, the committee felt that there was sufficient evidence to put forward a weak recommendation for the use of IV/PN selenium supplementation.

**2009 Recommendation:** There are insufficient data to make a recommendation regarding IV/PN selenium supplementation, alone or in combination with other antioxidants, in critically ill patients.

**2009 Discussion:** The committee noted that with the evidence from newer trials, the treatment effect of selenium supplementation with respect to a reduction in mortality was small with confidence intervals that overlapped 1.0, and this remain unchanged after the exclusion of one small study that had poor methodological quality (Kuklinski 1991). The committee also expressed concern regarding the heterogeneity in the trial designs, the negative safety reports in other patient populations and the inconsistency in dosing ranges in the critically ill population<sup>(1)</sup>. Given this, the committee felt that there was not enough evidence to support the use of IV/PN selenium supplementation. We await the results of ongoing studies on selenium supplementation in critically ill patients to strengthen the clinical recommendations.

(1) Heyland DK. Selenium supplementation in critically ill patients: can too much of a good thing be a bad thing? Crit Care. 2007;11(4):153.

### Semi Quantitative Scoring

Value	Definition	2009 Score (0,1,2,3)	2013 Score (0,1,2,3)
Effect size	Magnitude of the absolute risk reduction attributable to the intervention listed--a higher score indicates a larger effect size	2	0 (mortality) 1 (infection)
Confidence interval	95% confidence interval around the point estimate of the absolute risk reduction, or the pooled estimate (if more than one trial)--a higher score indicates a smaller confidence interval	2 mortality 2 infections	1 (mortality) 1 (infection)
Validity	Refers to internal validity of the study (or studies) as measured by the presence of concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication, an intention to treat analysis, and an explicit definition of outcomes--a higher score indicates presence of more of these features in the trials appraised	2	2
Homogeneity or Reproducibility	Similar direction of findings among trials--a higher score indicates greater similarity of direction of findings among trials	2	3 (overall)
Adequacy of control group	Extent to which the control group represented standard of care (large dissimilarities = 1, minor dissimilarities=2, usual care=3)	3	3
Biological plausibility	Consistent with understanding of mechanistic and previous clinical work (large inconsistencies =1, minimal inconsistencies =2, very consistent =3)	2	2
Generalizability	Likelihood of trial findings being replicated in other settings (low likelihood i.e. single centre =1, moderate likelihood i.e. multicentre with limited patient population or practice setting =2, high likelihood i.e. multicentre, heterogenous patients, diverse practice settings =3.	2	3
Low cost	Estimated cost of implementing the intervention listed--a higher score indicates a lower cost to implement the intervention in an average ICU	3	3
Feasible	Ease of implementing the intervention listed--a higher score indicates greater ease of implementing the intervention in an average ICU	3	3
Safe	Estimated probability of avoiding any significant harm that may be associated with the intervention listed--a higher score indicates a lower probability of harm	2	3

\* refers to parenteral/IV selenium supplementation either alone or combined with other antioxidant nutrients.

## 11.2 Supplemental Antioxidant Nutrients: Parenteral Selenium

April 2013

**Question:** Does parenteral selenium supplementation (alone or in combination with other antioxidants) result in improved outcomes in the critically ill patient?

**Summary of evidence:** There were 5 level 1 studies and 13 level 2 studies reviewed, eight that compared selenium supplementation to none (Kuklinski 1991, Zimmerman 1997, Berger 2001, Lindner 2004, Angstwurm 2007, Forceville 2007, El-Attar 2009, Manzanares 2011), five that compared higher amounts of selenium to low dose selenium (Angstwurm 1999, Mishra 2007, González 2009, Valenta 2009 & Andrews 2011) and five (Berger 1998, Porter, Berger 2007, Berger 2008, Heyland 2013) that studied selenium supplementation in addition to other antioxidants (copper, zinc, vit E, C, N-acetylcysteine). One study was published in 2 parts (Berger et al Intensive Care Medicine 2001;27:91-100 and Berger et al Nutrition Research (21):41-54. This study had two intervention arms i.e. selenium alone and selenium combined with zinc and  $\alpha$  tocopherol compared to placebo and the data are presented in the meta-analysis are from the combined selenium group (combined data).

**Mortality:** When the attributable data from 17 studies were aggregated, selenium supplementation had no effect on mortality (RR 0.96, 95 % CI 0.86, 1.07,  $p = 0.46$ , heterogeneity  $I^2=0\%$ ) (figure 1). When a meta-analysis was done without the Kuklinski study (poor methodological score), there remained no effect on mortality (RR 0.96 % CI 0.87, 1.07,  $p = 0.51$ , heterogeneity  $I^2=0\%$ ) (figure 2).

**Subgroup analyses:** Several subgroup analyses were done to elucidate the effects of selenium on mortality. The details are as follows:

**PN selenium monotherapy vs combined:** Subgroup analyses showed that PN selenium monotherapy supplementation was associated with a significant reduction in mortality (RR= 0.86, 95% CI 0.74-1.00,  $P= 0.05$ ; figure 3.1). PN antioxidants cocktails with selenium had no effect on mortality (RR= 1.08, 95% CI 0.93-1.25,  $P= 0.33$ ; figure 3.2). The test for subgroup differences was statistical significant ( $P= 0.04$ ; figure 3).

**PN selenium loading dose vs no loading dose:** Subgroup analyses showed that a PN loading dose was associated with a significant reduction in a mortality (RR= 0.80, 95% CI 0.64-1.00,  $P= 0.05$ ; test for heterogeneity  $P=0.53$ ,  $I^2 =0\%$ ; figure 4.1), whereas no loading dose did not show an effect on mortality (RR= 1.01, 95% CI 0.90-1.14,  $P= 0.83$ ; figure 4.2). The test of a subgroup effect tended towards significance ( $P= 0.07$ ; figure 4).

**PN selenium high dose vs low dose:** Subgroup analyses showed that a PN daily dose  $>500\mu\text{g}$  (RR= 0.92, 95% CI 0.76-1.11,  $P= 0.39$ ; figure 5.1), doses  $=500\mu\text{g}$  (RR= 0.88, 95% CI 0.57-1.34,  $P= 0.54$ ; figure 5.2) and doses  $<500\mu\text{g}$  (RR 0.94, 95% CI 0.67-1.33,  $P= 0.75$ ; figure 5.3) had no statistically significant effect on mortality. The test for subgroup differences was not significant ( $P= 0.96$ ; figure 5).

**Infections:** A total of twelve studies reported on infections. Berger 1998, Berger 2007 and Mishra 2007 did not report on the number of patients with infections, while Forceville 2007 reported on a subgroup of infections. Hence, only the data from 8 studies were included in the meta-analysis, and when aggregated, selenium supplementation was associated with a significant reduction in infectious complications (RR 0.88, 95 % CI 0.78-1.09,  $p = 0.04$ , test for heterogeneity  $I^2=0\%$ , (figure 6).

**Subgroup analyses:** Several subgroup analyses were done to elucidate the effects of selenium on infections. The details are as follows:

**PN selenium monotherapy vs combined:** Subgroup analyses showed that selenium monotherapy was associated with a trend towards reductions in infectious complications (RR= 0.85, 95% CI 0.71-1.03,  $P= 0.10$ ; figure 7.1), as did selenium in combined therapy (RR 0.90, 95% CI 0.78-1.05,  $P= 0.18$ ; figure 7.2); test for subgroup differences was not significant ( $P=0.66$ ; figure 7).

**PN selenium loading dose vs no loading dose:** Subgroup analyses showed that a PN loading dose showed no effect in infectious complications (RR= 0.96, 95% CI 0.69-1.33,  $P=0.80$ ; figure 8.1). Meanwhile, PN selenium without a loading dose showed a significant reduction on infections (RR 0.87, 95% CI 0.77-0.99,  $P=0.03$ ; figure 8.2); test for subgroup differences was not significant ( $P=0.60$ ; figure 8).

**PN selenium high dose vs low dose:** Subgroup analyses showed that PN doses  $>500\mu\text{g/d}$  had no effect on infections (RR= 0.90, 95% CI 0.75-1.06,  $P= 0.21$ ; figure 9.1). Doses  $=500\mu\text{g/d}$  also showed no effect on infections (RR= 0.87, 95% CI 0.64-1.19,  $P=0.39$ ; figure 9.2). Whereas, doses  $<500\mu\text{g/d}$  showed a trend towards a reduction in infections (RR= 0.87, 95% CI 0.72-1.05,  $P= 0.15$ ; figure 9.3). The test for subgroup differences was not significant ( $P= 0.97$ ; figure 9).

**LOS and Ventilator days:** Nine studies reported ICU LOS as a mean  $\pm$  standard deviation but there were no significant differences between the groups when the data were aggregated (WMD 0.47, 95% CI -0.90, 1.87,  $p = 0.49$ , heterogeneity  $I^2=0\%$ ,  $\tau^2= 6\%$ ) (see figure 10). When the 5 studies that reported hospital LOS as a mean  $\pm$  standard deviation were aggregated, there were no significant differences between the groups (WMD -3.80, 95 % CI -8.88, 1.27,  $p = 0.14$ , heterogeneity  $I^2=0\%$ ) (figure 11). When the 6 studies that reported ventilator days as a mean  $\pm$  standard deviation were aggregated, there was no effect on ventilator days between the groups (WMD -1.76, 95% CI -4.90, 1.38,  $p=0.27$ , heterogeneity  $I^2=77\%$ ,  $p=0.0002$ ; figure 12).

### Conclusions:

- 1) IV/parenteral selenium supplementation (alone or in combination with other antioxidants) has no effect on mortality in critically ill patients
- 2) IV/parenteral selenium supplementation (alone or in combination with other antioxidants) is associated with a significant reduction in infectious complications in the critically ill.

- 3) IV/parenteral selenium supplementation (alone or in combination with other antioxidants) has no effect on ICU length of stay or hospital length of stay.

*Level 1 study: if all of the following are fulfilled: concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication and an intention to treat analysis.*

*Level 2 study: If any one of the above characteristics are unfulfilled.*

**Table 1. Randomized Studies Evaluating Selenium Supplementation In Critically Ill Patients**

Study	Population	Methods score	Intervention
1) Kuklinski 1991	Patients with acute pancreatic necrosis N=17	C. Random: not sure ITT: no Blinding: no (4)	PN + selenium supplementation (500 µg /d) vs. PN without selenium supplementation
2) Zimmerman 1997	Patients with SIRS, APACHE > 15 and multiorgan failure score >6 N=40	C. Random: no ITT: yes Blinding: no (6)	IV Selenium as sodium selenite 1000 µg as a bolus and then 1000µg sodium selenite 24 hrs as a continuous infusion over 28 days vs. standard
3) Berger 1998	Burns > 30 % TBSA N=20	C. Random: yes ITT: yes Blinding: double blind (12)	IV Copper (40.4 µmol), selenium (159 µg), zinc (406 µmol) + standard trace elements vs. standard trace elements (Copper 20 µmol, selenium 32 µg, zinc 100 µmol) from day 0- 8, all received early EN
4) Angstwurm 1999	Patients with systematic inflammatory response syndrome from 11 ICUs N=42	C. Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: no (10)	PN with high dose selenium (535 µg x 3 days, 285 µg x 3 days and 155 µg x 3 days and 35 µg thereafter) vs. low dose selenium (35 µg/day for duration of study)
5) Porter 1999	Surgical ICU Penetrating trauma patients with injury severity score ≥ 25 N=18	C. Random: yes ITT: yes Blinding: no (9)	50 µg selenium IV q 6 hrs + 400 IU Vit E, 100 mg Vit. C q 8 hrs and 8 g of N-acetylcysteine (NAC) q 6 hrs via nasogastric or oral route, from Day 0-7 vs. none
6) Berger 2001	Trauma patients, surgical ICU N=32	C. Random: yes ITT: no Blinding: double (9)	IV Selenium supplementation (500 µg/day) vs. placebo (Selenium group randomized further to two groups: 500 µg Selenium alone vs. 500 µg Selenium + 150 mg α tocopherol + 13 mg zinc) given slowly for 1 <sup>st</sup> 5 days after injury (All groups received EN)
7) Lindner 2004	Patients with acute pancreatitis admitted to the ICU N=70	C. Random: not sure ITT: no Blinding: single (9)	IV sodium selenite dose of 2000 µg on day 1, 1000 µg on days 2-5, and 300 µg from day 6 until discharge vs placebo (isotonic 0.9% IV NaCl solution).

8) Angstwurm 2007	Multicentre mixed ICUs N=249	C.Random: not sure ITT: no Blinding: double (8)	1000µg Selenium IV within 1 hr followed by 1000µg Selenium for 14 days vs. NaCl (0.9%) (all patients received EN or PN)
9) Berger 2007	Burns > 20 % TBSA N=21	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: no (8)	IV 100 ml of Copper (59 µmol) + Selenium (375 µgm + zinc (574 µmol) vs. NaCl (0.9%) from admission for 5-15 days. Both groups were on EN.
10) Forceville 2007	Septic shock patients from 7 ICUs N=60	C.Random: not sure ITT: no Blinding: double (8)	4000µg Selenium IV on day 1 followed by 1000µg Selenium for 9 days vs. NaCl (0.9%) (all patients received EN or PN)
11) Mishra 2007	Septic ICU patients N=40	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: double (9)	474 µg Selenium IV x 3 days followed by 316 µg x 3 days, 158 µg x 3 days and 31.6 µg thereafter vs. 31.6 µg Selenium (all patients received EN or PN).
12) Berger 2008	Mixed ICU N=200	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: no (10)	IV Selenium supplementation loading dose 540 µg/day + zinc (60 mg) + Vit C 2700 mg + Vit B 305 mg + Vit E enteral 600 mg + Vit E 12.8 mg IV for 2 days followed by half the dose of all vs. standard vitamins. (All groups received EN or PN)
13) El-Attar 2009	COPD patients N=80	C.Random: yes ITT: yes Blinding: yes (12)	IV selenium as sodium selenite 100 µg/day, zinc 2 mg/day and manganese 0.4 mg/day vs. none. TE were administered during the period on mechanical ventilation
14) González 2009	Medical/surgical ICU pts N=68	C.Random: yes ITT: yes Blinding: double (7)	day 1 IV sodium selenite 1000µg , day 2 sodium selenite 500 µg and thereafter 200 µg during seven additional days vs selenite 100 µg/d
15) Andrews 2011	Mixed ICU, multicentre N=502	C. Random: yes ITT: yes Blinding: double blind (13)	500µg selenium supplemented PN (12.5g nitrogen, 2000kcal) vs. standard PN (12.5g nitrogen, 2000kcal) initiated after ICU admission (actual median 2.6 days) for 7 days (actual duration, mean 4.1 days).

<b>16) Manzanares 2011</b>	Septic or trauma patients N=31	C. Random: not sure ITT: no (except mortality) Blinding: single blind (9)	IV Selenium supplementation loading dose 2000 µg (2 hours) on day 1 followed by 1600µg/day for 10 days vs. NaCl as placebo
<b>17) Valenta et al, 2011</b>	Patients with sepsis or SIRS N=150	C. Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: no (8)	IV Selenium supplementation loading dose 1000 µg on day 1 followed by 500µg/day for 5-14 days + <75µg/day of Na-selenite added to PN. vs. NaCl + <75µg/day of Na-selenite added to PN.
<b>18) Heyland 2013</b>	Multicenter mixed ICUs N=1218	C. Random: yes ITT: yes Blinding: double (12)	500 µg selenium via PN + 300 µg selenium, 20 mg zinc, 10 mg beta carotene, 500 mg vitamin E, 1500 mg vitamin C via EN vs. placebo via PN and EN

D5W: dextrose 5% in water  
 ICU: intensive care unit  
 SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome  
 COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease  
 ITT: intention to treat; IV: intravenous  
 C.Random: concealed randomization  
 N: number of patients  
 TBSA: total body surface area.  
 EN: enteral nutrition  
 PN: parenteral nutrition

**Table 1. Randomized Studies Evaluating Selenium Supplementation In Critically Ill Patients (continued)**

Study	Mortality (%)		Infections (%)		LOS days	
	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control
<b>1) Kuklinski 1991</b>	ICU 0/8 (0)	ICU 8/9 ( 89)	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>2) Zimmerman 1997</b>	3/20 (15)	8/20 (40)	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>3) Berger 1998</b>	1/10 (10)	0/10 (0)	1.9 ± 0.9 (1-4) per patient	3.1 ± 1.1 (2-5) per patient	ICU 30 ± 12 (10) Hospital 54 ± 27 (10)	ICU 39 ± 13 (10) Hospital 66 ± 31 (10)
<b>4) Angstwurm 1999</b>	Hospital 7/21 (33)	Hospital 11/21 (52)	NR	NR	NR	NR

5) Porter 1999	0/9 (0)	0/9 (0)	5/9 (56)	8/9 (89)	ICU 22 ± 25.2 Hospital 31.3 ± 23.4	ICU 35.8 ± 21.9 Hospital 49 ± 30
6) Berger 2001	(a) Selenium alone 2/9 (22) (b) Selenium + zinc + α tocopherol 0/11 (0)	1/12 (9)	(a) Selenium alone 5/9 (56) (b) Selenium + zinc + α tocopherol 3/11 (27)	5/12 (42)	(a) ICU 8.0 ± 4.0 (9) Hospital 82 ± 78 (9) (b) ICU 5.8 ± 4.4 (11) Hospital 60 ± 48 (11)	ICU 8.6 ± 8.1 (12) Hospital 64 ± 39 (12)
7) Linder 2004	Not specified 5/32 (15.6)	Not specified 3/35 (8.6)	NA	NA	Hospital 24 (9-44)	Hospital 26 (11-46)
8) Angstwurm 2007	28 day 46/116 (40)	28 day 61/122 (50)	New infections (HAP) 10/116 (9)	New infections (HAP) 10/122 (8)	ICU 15.1 ± 10 (116)	ICU 12.7 ± 9 (122)
9) Berger 2007	1/11 (9)	1/10 (10)	2.1 ± 1.0 per patient	3.6 ± per patient	ICU 35 ± 27 (11)	ICU 47 ± 37 (10)
10) Forceville 2007	28 day 14/31 (45) 6 Month 18/31 (59) 1 year 66%	28 day 13/29 (45) 6 Month 20/29 (68) 1 year 71%	Superinfection**** 1/31 (3)	Superinfection**** 2/29(7)	ICU 21 (7-40) Hospital 25 (7-68)	ICU 18 (10-31) Hospital 33 (11-51)
11) Mishra 2007	ICU 8/18 (44) Hospital 11/18 (61) 28 day 8/18 (44)	ICU 11/22 (61) Hospital 15/22 (68) 28 day 11/22 (50)	1.5 ± 1.9 per patient	1.8 ± 1.6 per patient	ICU 21.3 ± 16.2 (18)	ICU 20.8 ± 21.8 (18)

12) Berger 2008	ICU 8/102 (8) Hospital 14/102 (14) 3 month 14/102 (14)	ICU 5/98 (5) Hospital 9/98 (11) 3 month 11/98 (11)	36/102 (35)	34/98 (35)	ICU 5.8 ± 5.4 (102) Hospital 23 ± 20 (102)	ICU 5.4 ± 5.7 (98) Hospital 26 ± 20 (98)
13) El-Attar 2009	ICU 2/40 (5.6)	ICU 1/40 (2.9)	VAP 5/36 (14)	VAP 7/34 (21)	NR	NR
14) González 2009	Hospital 6/34 (18)	Hospital 8/34 (24)	NR	NR	Hospital 12(12-14)	Hospital 17(14-20)
15) Andrews 2011	ICU 84/251 (33) 6-month 107/251 (43)	ICU 84/251 (33) 6-month 114/251 (45)	Confirmed 104/251 (41)	Confirmed 121/251 (48)	ICU 13.2 (IQR 7.8- 23.7) Hospital 29.8 (IQR 14.7-52.4)	ICU 15.1 (IQR 8.3-28.4) Hospital 31.2 (IQR 15.1-57.8)
16) Manzanares 2011	ICU 3/15 (20) Hospital 5/15 (33)	ICU 5/16 (31) Hospital 7/16 (44)	VAP 3/15 (20)	VAP 7/16 (44)	ICU 14 ± 11 (15)	ICU 13 ± 6 (16)
17) Valenta 2011	28-day 19/75 (25)	28-day 24/75 (32)	NR	NR	NR	NR
18) Heyland 2013	Hospital 216/617 (35) 14-day 154/617 (25) 28-day 190/617 (31) 3-month 239 6-month 250	Hospital 199/601 (33) 14-day 132/601 (22) 28-day 173/601 (29) 3-month 222 6-month 235	All 168/617 (27) VAP 71/617 (12)	All 181/601 (30) VAP 95/601 (16)	ICU 14.2 ± 22.7 (617) Hospital 31.2 ± 50.2 (617)	ICU 13.8 ± 23.1 (601) Hospital 29.5 ± 44.8 (601)

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease  
HAP: hospital acquired pneumonia  
NR: non reported

C.Random: concealed randomization  
ICU: intensive care unit  
PN: parenteral nutrition

EN: enteral nutrition  
ITT: intent to treat  
Hosp: hospital

NA: non attributable  
IV: intravenous

SIRS: systemic inflammatory response syndrome

TBSA: total body surface area

VAP: ventilator associated pneumonia

Figure 1. Mortality (including Kuklinski)




Figure 2. Mortality (excluding Kuklinski)




Figure 3 SUBGROUP ANALYSES: MORTALITY: PN selenium monotherapy vs combined




Figure 4 SUBGROUP ANALYSES: MORTALITY: PN Selenium loading dose vs no loading dose:




Figure 5. SUBGROUP ANALYSES: MORTALITY: PN Selenium high dose vs low dose




Figure 6. Infections




Figure 7 SUBGROUP ANALYSES: INFECTIONS: PN selenium monotherapy vs combined




Figure 8 SUBGROUP ANALYSES: INFECTIONS PN Selenium loading dose vs no loading dose




Figure 9 SUBGROUP ANALYSES: INFECTIONS PN Selenium high dose vs low dose




Figure 10. ICU LOS REVISED




Figure 11. Hospital LOS REVISED




Figure 12. Ventilator Days

