## 9.3 Composition of Parenteral Nutrition: Zinc (either alone or in combination with other antioxidants) May 27th 2009

#### **Recommendation:**

There are insufficient data to make a recommendation regarding IV/PN zinc supplementation in critically ill patients.

**Discussion:** The committee noted the potentially large treatment effect of zinc enriched PN with respect to a reduction in mortality. The wide confidence intervals weaken this estimate. Safety, cost and feasibility issues were considered to be favourable. The committee noted that in some sub populations of critical illness with high zinc losses (GI fistula, burns, etc) there may be some benefit to zinc supplementation but data are lacking to support a recommendation.

Values	Definition	Score: 0, 1, 2, 3
Effect size	Magnitude of the absolute risk reduction attributable to the intervention listeda higher score indicates a larger effect size	3
Confidence interval	95% confidence interval around the point estimate of the absolute risk reduction, or the pooled estimate (if more than one trial)	
	a higher score indicates a smaller confidence interval	2
Validity	Refers to internal validity of the study (or studies) as measured by the presence of concealed randomization, blinded outcome	
	adjudication, an intention to treat analysis, and an explicit definition of outcomesa higher score indicates presence of more of	3
	these features in the trials appraised	
Homogeneity or	Similar direction of findings among trialsa higher score indicates greater similarity of direction of findings among trials	1
Reproducibility		
Adequacy of control	Extent to which the control group presented standard of care (large dissimilarities=1, minor dissimilarities=2, usual care=3)	3
group		
Biological	Consistent with understanding of mechanistic and previous clinical work (large inconsistencies=1, minimal consistencies=2, very	
Plausibility	consistent=3)	2
Generalizability	Likelihood of trial findings being replicated in other settings (low likelihood i.e. single centre=1, moderate likelihood i.e.	
	multicentre with limited patient population or practice setting=2, high likelihood i.e. multicentre, heterogenous patients, diverse	
	practice settings=3)	1
Low cost	Estimated cost of implementing the intervention listeda higher score indicates a lower cost to implement the intervention in an	
	average ICU	3
Feasible	Ease of implementing the intervention listeda higher score indicates greater ease of implementing the intervention in an	
	average ICU	3
Safety	Estimated probability of avoiding any significant harm that may be associated with the intervention listeda higher score	
	indicates a lower probability of harm	3

9.3 Composition of Parenteral Nutrition: Zinc (either alone or in combination with other antioxidants) May 27<sup>th</sup> 2009

Question: Does zinc supplementation (via IV/PN) given either alone or in combination with other nutrients result in improved outcomes in the critically ill patient?

Summary of evidence: There were 4 level 2 studies reviewed, one that compared a higher dose of parenteral zinc to a lower dose in ventilated head injured patients (Porter), both groups progressing to oral zinc (higher vs. lower). The other three studies compared IV zinc in combination with other antioxidants (selenium,  $\alpha$  tocopherol and/or copper) to placebo.

**Mortality**: When all three studies were aggregated, zinc supplementation was associated with a trend a reduction in mortality (RR = 0.58, 95% confidence intervals 0.23-1.44, p = 0.24) (figure 1).

**Infections:** Only reported in two studies, one reported number of infections per patient (Young), hence unable to do a meta-analysis. The other study reported no differences in infectious complications between the two groups (Berger 2001).

Hospital/ICU length of stay, ventilator days: There were no statistical differences between the groups (figure 3, 4).

**Cost, other complications:** Only one study reported the number of patients with organ failure, which was the same in the group receiving zinc supplementation and none (Berger 2001)

### Conclusion:

Zinc supplementation given IV/PN (either alone or in combination with other antioxidants) may be associated with a trend towards a reduction in mortality in critically ill patients.

Level 1 study: if all of the following are fulfilled: concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication and an intention to treat analysis. Level 2 study: If any one of the above characteristics are unfulfilled.

Study	Population	Methods (score)	Intervention	Mortality # (%)†		Infections # (%)‡		
				Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control	
1) Young 1996	Severely head injured patients, ventilated N = 68	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: double (12)	12 mg elemental zinc via PN, then progressing to oral zinc vs. 2.5 mg elemental zinc, then progressing to oral placebo	4/33 (12)	9/35 (26)	NR	NR	
2) Berger 1998	Burns > 30 % TBSA N = 20	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: double blind (11)	IV Copper (40.4 μmol), selenium (2.0 μmol), zinc (406 μmol) + standard trace elements vs. standard trace elements elements (Copper 20 μmol, selenium 0.4μmol, zinc 100 μmol) X 8 days, all received early EN	1/10 (10)	0/10 (0)	1.9 ± 0.9 (1-4) per patient	3.1 ± 1.1 (2-5) per patient	
3) Berger 2001*	Trauma patients, surgical ICU N = 32	C.Random: not sure ITT: no Blinding: single (7)	IV Selenium + $\alpha$ tocopherol + zinc vs placebo (All groups received enteral nutrition)	0/11 (0)	1/11 (9)	3/11 (27)	3/11 (27)	
4) Berger 2007	Burns > 20 % BSA N = 21	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: no (8)	IV 100 mls of Copper (59 μmol) + Selenium (375 μgm + zinc (574 μmol) vs NaCl (0.9%) from admission for 5-15 days. Both groups were on EN.	1/11 (9)	1/10 (10)	2.1 ± 1.0 per patient	3.6 $\pm$ per patient	
5) Berger 2008	Mixed ICU N = 200	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: no (10)	IV Selenium supplementation loading dose 540 μg/day + zinc (60 mg) + Vit C 2700 mg + Vit B 305 mg + Vit E enteral 600 mg + Vit E 12.8 mg IV for 2 days followed by half the dose of all vs. standard vitamins. (All groups received EN or PN)	ICU 8/102 (8) Hospital 14/102 (14) 3 month 14/602 (14)	ICU 5/98 (5) Hospital 9/98 (11) 3 month 11/98 (11)	36/102 (35)	34/98 (35)	

Table 1. Randomized studies evaluating zinc supplementation in critically ill patients

Study	LOS Experimental	days	Ventilat Experimental	or days	C Experimental	control	Other Experimental control	
1) Young 1996	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
2) Berger 1998	ICU 30 ± 12 (10) Hospital 54 ± 27 (10)	ICU 39 ± 13 (10) Hospital 66 ± 31 (10)	9 ± 10 (10)	12 ± 9 (10)	NR	NR	NR	NR
3) Berger 2001*	ICU 5.8 ± 4.4 (11) Hospital 60 ± 48 (11)	ICU 6.1 ± 6.0 (11) Hospital 59 ± 37 (11)	4.1 ± 3.6 (11)	4.2 ± 5.2 (11)	NR	NR	Orgar 3/11 (27)	n failure 4/11 (36)
4) Berger 2007	ICU 35 ± 27 (11)	ICU 47 ± 37 (10)	7.6 ± 6 (11)	12.6 ± 6 (10)	NR	NR	NR	
5) Berger 2008	ICU 5.8 ± 5.4 (102) Hospital 23 ± 20 (102)	ICU 5.4 ± 5.7 (98) Hospital 26 ± 20 (98)	Vent free days 26.1 ± 5.7	Vent free days 26.6 ± 5.2	NR	NR	NR	

Table 2. Randomized studies evaluating zinc in critically ill patients

C.Random: concealed randomization

ITT: intent to treat

NR: not reported

‡ refers to the # of patients with infections unless specified † presumed hospital mortality unless otherwise specified

\* only data pertaining to the selenium +  $\alpha$  tocopherol + zinc vs placebo groups reported here \*\*RR (CI): Relative risk (95 % confidence intervals)

# Figure 1.

Review:	Parenteral Zinc
Comparison:	01 Parenteral Zinc vs control
Outcome:	01 Mortality

Study or sub-category	Parer	nteral zinc n/N	Control n/N	RR (randon 95% Cl	n) Weight %	RR (random 95% Cl	i) Year
Young 1996		4/33	9/35		70.94	0.47 [0.16, 1.	38] 1996
Berger 1998	1	1/10	0/10		■ 8.63	3.00 [0.14, 65	.90] 1998
Berger 2001	(	0/11	1/11	← ■	8.58	0.33 [0.02, 7.	39] 2001
Berger 2007	1	1/11	1/10	• •	11.85	0.91 [0.07, 12	2007
Total (95% Cl) Total events: 6 () Test for heterog Test for overall e	Parenteral zinc), 11 (Contro eneity: Chi² = 1.47, df = 3 ( sffect: Z = 1.18 (P = 0.24)	65 ol) P = 0.69), I <sup>2</sup> = 0%	66	-	100.00	0.58 [0.23, 1.	44]
				0.1 0.2 0.5 1	2 5 10		
				Favours zinc Fav	ours control		
Figure 2.							
Review: Comparison: Outcome:	Parenteral Zinc 01 Parenteral Zinc vs cor 02 Hospital Length of Sta	ntrol Y					
Study or sub-category	N	Parenteral zinc Mean (SD)	N	Control Mean (SD)	VVMD (rando 95% Cl	m) Weight %	VVMD (random) 95% Cl
Berger 1998	10	54.00(27.00)	10	66.00(31.00)		66.40	-12.00 [-37.48, 13.48
Berger 2001	11	60.00(48.00)	11	59.00(37.00)		- 33.60	1.00 [-34.81, 36.8]

Review.	Parenteral Zinc
Comparison:	01 Parenteral Zinc vs control
Outcome:	02 Hospital Length of Stay

Study or sub-category	N	Parenteral zinc Mean (SD)	N	Control Mean (SD)		VM	/ID (random) 95% CI		Weight %		WMD (random) 95% Cl	Vear
		mour (SD)		Mourt (OD)					,0		5576 61	
Berger 1998	10	54.00(27.00)	10	66.00(31.00)					66.40	-12.00	[-37.48, 13.48]	1998
Berger 2001	11	60.00(48.00)	11	59.00(37.00)			+	-	33.60	1.00	[-34.81, 36.81]	2001
Total (95% Cl)	21		21			-	-		100.00	-7.63	[-28.39, 13.13]	
Test for heterogeneity: Chi Test for overall effect: Z =	² = 0.34, df = 1 (P 0.72 (P = 0.47)	= 0.56), l <sup>2</sup> = 0%										
					-100	-50	ò	50	100			
						Favours z	inc Favou	urs contro	bl			

Figure 3.

Outcome: 03	BICU Length of stay	iroi							
Study or sub-category	N	Parenteral zinc Mean (SD)	N	Control Mean (SD)		VVMD (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	WMD (random) 95% Cl	Year
Berger 1998	10	30.00(12.00)	10	39.00(13.00)			25.13	-9.00 [-19.97, 1.97]	1998
Berger 2001	11	5.80(4.40)	11	6.10(6.00)		<b>_</b>	70.04	-0.30 [-4.70, 4.10]	2001
Berger 2007	11	35.00(27.00)	10	47.00(37.00)	•		4.84	-12.00 [-39.94, 15.94]	2007
Total (95% Cl)	32		31				100.00	-3.05 [-9.34, 3.24]	
Test for heterogene	eity: Chi² = 2.61, df = 2 (	P = 0.27), I <sup>2</sup> = 23.5%							
Test for overall effe	ect: Z = 0.95 (P = 0.34)								

Favours zinc Favours control

## TOPIC: PN Composition: Zinc (alone or in combination)

### Article inclusion log

Criteria for study selection

Type of study: RCT or Meta-analysis

Population: critically ill human patients (no elective sx.)

Intervention: PN

Outcomes: mortality, LOS, QOL, functional recovery, complications, cost. Exclude studies with only biochemical, metabolic or nutritional outcomes.

	Author	Journal	I	Ε	WhyRejected
1	Young	J of Neurotrauma 1996	$\checkmark$		
2	Berger	Am J Clin Nutr 1998			
3	Berger	Int Care Med 2001			
4	Berger	Nut Res 2001	V		Same study as Berger 2001: Int Care Med. Data is combined and presented as Berger 2001
5	Berger	Am J Clin Nutr 2007			
6	Berger	Critical Care 2008			

I = included, E = excluded

### **Reference List**

- Young B, Ott L, Kasarskis E, Rapp R, Moles K, Dempsey RJ, Tibbs PA, Kryscio R, CcClain C (1996) Zinc supplementation is associated with improved neurologic recovery rate and visceral protein levels of patients with severe closed head injury. J Neurotrauma 13:25-34
- Berger MM, Spertini F, Shenkin A, Wardle C, Wiesner L, Schindler C, Chioléro RL (1998) Trace element supplementation modulates pulmonary infection rates after major burns: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 68:365-371
- 3. Berger MM, Reymond MJ, Shenkin A, Rey F, Wardle C, Cayeux C, Schindler C, Chiolero RL. Influence of selenium supplements on the post-traumatic alterations of the thyroid axis: a placebo-controlled trial. Intensive Care Med. 2001 Jan;27(1):91-100.
- 4. Berger MM, Baines M, Chiolero R, Wardle C, Cayeux, Shenkin A (2001) Influence of early trace element and vitamin E supplements on antioxidant status after major trauma: a controlled trial. N. Research 21:41-54
- Berger MM, Binnert C, Chiolero RL, Taylor W, Raffoul W, Cayeux MC, Benathan M, Shenkin A, Tappy L. Trace element supplementation after major burns increases burned skin trace element concentrations and modulates local protein metabolism but not wholebody substrate metabolism. Am J Clin Nutr. 2007 May;85(5):1301-6.
- Berger MM, Soguel L, Shenkin A, Revelly JP, Pinget C, Baines M, Chioléro RL. Influence of early antioxidant supplements on clinical evolution and organ function in critically ill cardiac surgery, major trauma, and subarachnoid hemorrhage patients. Crit Care. 2008;12(4):R101