11.2 Supplemental Antioxidant Nutrients: Parenteral Selenium*

Recommendation:

There are insufficient data to make a recommendation regarding IV/PN selenium supplementation, alone or in combination with other antioxidants, in critically ill patients.

Discussion: The committee noted that with the evidence from newer trials, the treatment effect of selenium supplementation with respect to a reduction in mortality was small with confidence intervals that overlapped 1.0, and this remain unchanged after the exclusion of one small study that had poor methodological quality (Kuklinski 1991). The committee also expressed concern regarding the heterogeneity in the trial designs, the negative safety reports in other patient populations and the inconsistency in dosing ranges in the critically ill population⁽¹⁾. Given this, the committee felt that there was not enough evidence to support the use of IV/PN selenium supplementation. We await the results of ongoing studies on selenium supplementation in critically ill patients to strengthen the clinical recommendations.

	Definition	Score
		0, 1, 2 or 3
Effect size	Magnitude of the absolute risk reduction attributable to the intervention listeda higher score indicates a larger effect size	2
Confidence interval	95% confidence interval around the point estimate of the absolute risk reduction, or the pooled estimate (if more than one trial)a higher score indicates a smaller confidence interval	2 mortality 2 infections
Validity	Refers to internal validity of the study (or studies) as measured by the presence of concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication, an intention to treat analysis, and an explicit definition of outcomesa higher score indicates presence of more of these features in the trials appraised	2
Homogeneity or Reproducibility	Similar direction of findings among trialsa higher score indicates greater similarity of direction of findings among trials	2
Adequacy of control group	Extent to which the control group represented standard of care (large dissimilarities = 1, minor dissimilarities=2, usual care=3)	3
Biological plausibility	Consistent with understanding of mechanistic and previous clinical work (large inconsistencies =1, minimal inconsistencies =2, very consistent =3)	2
Generalizability	Likelihood of trial findings being replicated in other settings (low likelihood i.e. single centre =1, moderate likelihood i.e. multicentre with limited patient population or practice setting =2, high likelihood i.e. multicentre, heterogenous patients, diverse practice settings =3.	2
Low cost	Estimated cost of implementing the intervention listeda higher score indicates a lower cost to implement the intervention in an average ICU	3
Feasible	Ease of implementing the intervention listed a higher score indicates greater ease of implementing the intervention in an average ICU	3
Safe	Estimated probability of avoiding any significant harm that may be associated with the intervention listeda higher score indicates a lower probability of harm	2

(1) Heyland DK. Selenium supplementation in critically ill patients: can too much of a good thing be a bad thing? Crit Care. 2007;11(4):153.

* refers to parenteral/IV selenium supplementation either alone or combined with other antioxidant nutrients.

11.2 Supplemental Antioxidant Nutrients: Parenteral Selenium

Question: Does parenteral selenium supplementation (alone or in combination with other antioxidants) result in improved outcomes in the critically ill patient?

Summary of evidence: There was 1 level 1 study and 10 level 2 studies reviewed, five that compared selenium supplementation to none (Kuklinski 1991, Zimmerman 1997, Berger 2001, Angstwurm 2007, Forceville 2007), two that compared higher amounts of selenium to low dose selenium (Angstwurm 1999, Mishra 2007) and four (Berger 1998, Porter, Berger 2007, Berger 2008) that studied selenium supplementation in addition to other antioxidants (copper, zinc, vit E, C, N-acetylcysteine). One study was published in 2 parts (Berger et al Intensive Care Medicine 2001;27:91-100 and Berger et al Nutrition Research (21):41-54. This study had two intervention arms i.e. selenium alone and selenium combined with zinc and α tocopherol compared to placebo and the data are presented in the meta-analysis are from the combined selenium group (combined data).

Mortality: When the data from all 11 studies were aggregated, selenium supplementation was associated with a trend towards a reduction in mortality (RR 0.84, 95 % CI 0.67, 1.05, p = 0.13) (figure 1). When a meta-analysis was done without the Kuklinski study (poor methodological score), this reduction in mortality remained (RR 0.85, 95 % CI 0.69, 1.64, p = 0.11) (figure 2). When the data from the studies that compared selenium alone to none were aggregated, selenium supplementation had no effect on mortality (RR 0.84, 95 % CI 0.64, 1.11, p = 0.22 (figure 3) and when a sensitivity analysis was done without the Kuklinski study, selenium supplementation alone was associated with a trend towards a reduction in mortality (RR 0.85, 95 % CI 0.69, 1.04, p = 0.12) (figure 4).

Infections: A total of seven studies reported on infections, Berger 1998 and Mishra 2007 did not report on the number of patients with infections while Forceville 2007 reported on a subgroup of infections, hence the data from these studies was not included in the meta-analysis. When the other 4 studies were aggregated, selenium supplementation had no effect on infectious complications (RR 0.93, 95 % CI 0.70, 1.23, p = 0.61) (See figure 5).

LOS and Ventilator days: Seven studies reported on LOS but there were no significant differences between the groups when the data were aggregated (WMD 0.12, 95% CI - 1.79, 2.03, p = 0.90)(see figure 6). Ventilator days/ ventilator free days were also found to be no different between the groups in the 4 studies. Other complications: not reported

Conclusions:

- 1) IV/parenteral selenium supplementation (alone or in combination with other antioxidants) is associated with a trend towards a reduction in mortality in critically ill patients
- 2) IV/parenteral selenium supplementation (alone or in combination with other antioxidants) has no effect on infectious complications in the critically ill.
- 3) IV/parenteral selenium supplementation (alone or in combination with other antioxidants) has no effect on ICU length of stay.

Level 1 study: if all of the following are fulfilled: concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication and an intention to treat analysis. Level 2 study: If any one of the above characteristics are unfulfilled.

Table 1. Random	Table 1. Randomized Studies Evaluating Selenium Supplementation In Critically III Patients							
Study	Population	Methods	Intervention	Mortali	ty # (%)†	Infections	s # (%)‡	
		(score)		Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control	
1) Kuklinski 1991	Patients with acute pancreatic necrosis N = 17	C.Random: not sure ITT: no Blinding: no (4)	PN + selenium supplementation (500 μg /d) vs PN without selenium supplementation	0/8 (0) ICU	8/9 (89) ICU	NA	NA	
2) Zimmerman 1997	Patients with SIRS, APACHE > 15 and multi organ failure score >6 N = 40	C.Random: no ITT: yes Blinding: no (6)	1000 μg Na-Selenite as a bolus IV then 1000μg Na- Selenite/24 hrs as a continuous infusion over 28 days vs. standard	3/20 (15)	8/20 (40)	NA	NA	
3) Berger 1998	Burns > 30 % TBSA N = 20	C.Random: yes ITT: yes Blinding: double blind (12)	IV Copper (40.4 μmol), selenium (159 μg), zinc (406 μmol) + standard trace elements vs. standard trace elements elements (Copper 20 μmol, selenium 32 μg, zinc 100 μmol) X 8 days, all received early EN	1/10 (10)	0/10 (0)	1.9 ± 0.9 (1-4) per patient	3.1 ± 1.1 (2- 5) per patient	
4) Porter 1999	Surgical ICU Penetrating trauma patients with injury severity score ≥ 25 N = 18	C.Random: yes ITT: yes Blinding: no (9)	$50~\mu g$ selenium IV q 6 hrs + 400 IU Vit E, 100 mg Vit. C q 8 hrs and 8 gms of N-acetylcysteine (NAC) q 6 hrs via nasogastric or oral route	0/9	0/9	5/9 (56)	8/9 (89)	
5) Angstwurm 1999	Patients with systematic inflammatory response syndrome N = 42	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: no (10)	PN with high dose selenium (535 µg x 3 days, 285 µg x 3 days and 155 µg x 3 days and 35 µg thereafter) vs low dose selenium (35 µg/day for duration of study)	7/21 (33) hospital	11/21 (52) hospital	NA	NA	
6) Berger 2001*	Trauma patients, surgical ICU N = 32	C.Random: not sure ITT: no Blinding: single (7)	IV Selenium supplementation (500 μ g/day) vs placebo *(Selenium group randomized further to two groups: 500 μ g Selenium alone vs 500 μ g Selenium + 150 mg α tocopherol + 13 mg zinc) given slowly over 5 days(All groups received EN)	2/20 (10)	1/12 (8)	8/20 (40)	5/12 (42)	
7) Berger 2007***	Burns > 20 % BSA N = 21	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: no (8)	IV 100 mls of Copper (59 μ mol) + Selenium (375 μ gm + zinc (574 μ mol) vs NaCl (0.9%) from admission for 5-15 days. Both groups were on EN.	1/11 (9)	1/10 (10)	2.1 ± 1.0 per patient	3.6 ± per patient	
8) Angstwurm 2007	Multicentre mixed ICUs N =249	C.Random: not sure ITT: no Blinding: double (8)	1000µg Selenium IV within 1 hr followed by 1000µg Selenium for 14 days vs. NaCl (0.9%) (all patients received EN or PN)	28 day 46/116 (40)	28 day 61/122 (50)	New infectior Acquired Pr 10/116 (9)	ns (Hospital neumonia) 10/122 (8)	

$-rabic r_{i}$ Narravinized Stadies Evaluating Scientari Subbichentation in Onticari in ration
--

9) Forceville 2007	Septic shock patients N = 60	C.Random: not sure ITT: no Blinding: double (8)	4000µg Selenium IV on day 1 followed by 1000µg Selenium for 9 days vs. NaCl (0.9%) (all patients received EN or PN)	28 day 14/31 (45) 6 Month 18/31 (59) 1 year 66%	28 day 13/29 (45) 6 Month 20/29 (68) 1 year 71%	Superin 1/31 (3)	fection** 2/29 (7)
10)Mishra 2007	Septic ICU patients N = 40	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: double (9)	474 μg Selenium IV x 3 days followed by 316 μg x 3 days, 158 μg x 3 days and 31.6 μg thereafter vs. 31.6 μg Selenium (all patients received EN or PN).	ICU 8/18 (44) Hospital 11/18 (61) 28 day 8/18 (44)	ICU 11/22 (61) Hospital 15/22 (68) 28 day 11/22 (50)	Infections 1.5 ± 1.9	per patient 1.8 ± 1.6
11) Berger 2008	Mixed ICU N = 200	C.Random: not sure ITT: yes Blinding: no (10)	IV Selenium supplementation loading dose 540 µg/day + zinc (60 mg) + Vit C 2700 mg + Vit B 305 mg + Vit E enteral 600 mg + Vit E 12.8 mg IV for 2 days followed by half the dose of all vs. standard vitamins . Started within 24 hrs of admission to ICU. Placebo. (All groups received EN or PN)	ICU 8/102 (8) Hospital 14/102 (14) 3 month 14/602 (14)	ICU 5/98 (5) Hospital 9/98 (11) 3 month 11/98 (11)	36/102 (35)	34/98 (35)

Table 1 (continued). Randomized Studies Evaluating Selenium Supplementation In Critically III Patients

Study	LOS Experimental	days Control	Ventila Experimental C	ator days	Ot Experimental	her Control
1)Kuklinski 1991	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
2) Zimmerman 1997	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
3) Berger 1998	30 ± 12 (10) ICU 54 ± 27 (10) hospital	39 ± 13 (10) ICU 66 ± 31 (10) hospital	9 ± 10 (10)	12 ± 9 (10)	NR	NR
4) Porter 1999	ICU 22 ± 25.2 Hospital 31.3 ± 23.4	ICU 35.8 ± 21.9 Hospital 49 ± 30	NR	NR	Organ d 0/9 (0)	ysfunction 6/9 (67)

5) Angstwurm 1999	NR	NR	9 (3-23)	10 (1-43)	NR	NR
6) Berger 2001*	ICU 6.1 ± 3.9 (20) Hospital 68 ± 60(20)	ICU 8.6 ± 8.1 (12) Hospital 64 ± 39 (12)	5.1 ± 3.7 (20)	5.4 ± 6.5 (12)	Orgar 6/20 (30)	n failure 4/11 (36)
7) Berger 2007***	ICU 35 ± 27 (11)	ICU 47 ± 37 (10)	7.6 ± 6 (11)	12.6 ± 6 (10)	NR	NR
8) Angstwurm 2007	ICU 15.1 ± 10 (116)	ICU 12.7± 9 (122)	NR	NR	Change in Logistic -2.6 ± 4.7	Corgan dysfunction -2.0 ± 4.0
9) Forceville 2007	ICU 21 (7-40) Hospital 25 (7-68)	ICU 18 (10-31) Hospital 33 (11-51)	19 (7-34)	14 (8-23)	Comp 24/31 (78)	ications 16/29 (55)
10) Mishra 2007	ICU 21.3 ± 16.2 (18)	ICU 20.8 ± 21.8 (18)	NR	NR	NR	NR
11) Berger 2008	ICU 5.8 ± 5.4 (102) Hospital 23 ± 20 (102)	ICU 5.4 ± 5.7 (98) Hospital 26 ± 20 (98)	Vent free days 26.1 ± 5.7	Vent free days 26.6 ± 5.2	NR	NR

Selenium: 1 µg = 0.0126 µmol

NR: not reported

 \pm (): mean \pm Standard deviation (number)

Figure 1 REVISED Mortality with Kuklinski Review: Antioxidants (Version 01) Comparison: 04 Antioxidants (Selenium, single+ combined)

Study or sub-category	Selenium n/N	Control n/N	RR (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	RR (random) 95% Cl	Year
Berger 1998	1/10	0/10		→ 0.51	3.00 [0.14, 65.90]	
Kuklinski	0/8	8/9	←────	0.67	0.07 [0.00, 0.98]	1991
Zimmerman	3/20	8/20		3.48	0.38 [0.12, 1.21]	1997
Angstwurm	7/21	11/21	_	8.68	0.64 [0.31, 1.32]	1999
Porter	0/9	0/9			Not estimable	1999
Berger 2001a	2/9	1/12		0.97	2.67 [0.28, 25.04]	2001
Berger 2001b	0/11	1/12	▲ ■	0.51	0.36 [0.02, 8.04]	2001
Angstwurm 2007	46/116	61/122		43.17	0.79 [0.60, 1.06]	2007
Berger 2007	1/11	1/10	←	→ 0.70	0.91 [0.07, 12.69]	2007
Forceville	14/31	13/29	_	14.18	1.01 [0.58, 1.76]	2007
Mishra	11/18	15/22	_ _	19.67	0.90 [0.56, 1.43]	2007
Berger 2008	14/102	9/98		7.46	1.49 [0.68, 3.29]	2008
Total (95% CI)	366	374	•	100.00	0.84 [0.67, 1.05]	
Total events: 99 (Selenium), 1	128 (Control)		+			
Test for heterogeneity: Chi2 =	10.55, df = 10 (P = 0.39), l ² =	5.2%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.3	53 (P = 0.13)					
-			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2	5 10		
			Favours selenium Favours cor	ntrol		

Figure 2. Sensitivity Analysis without Kuklinski

Review:	Antioxidants (Version 01)
Comparison:	04 Antioxidants (Selenium; single+ combined)
Outcome:	01 Mortality

Study or sub-category	Selenium n/N	Control n/N	RR (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	RR (random) 95% Cl	Year
Berger 1998	1/10	0/10		0.42	3.00 [0.14, 65.90]	
Zimmerman	3/20	8/20		2.93	0.38 [0.12, 1.21]	1997
Angstwurm	7/21	11/21		7.59	0.64 [0.31, 1.32]	1999
Porter	0/9	0/9			Not estimable	1999
Berger 2001a	2/9	1/12		0.81	2.67 [0.28, 25.04]	2001
Berger 2001b	0/11	1/12	← ■ ↓	0.42	0.36 [0.02, 8.04]	2001
Angstwurm 2007	46/116	61/122		49.32	0.79 [0.60, 1.06]	2007
Berger 2007	1/11	1/10	← •	0.58	0.91 [0.07, 12.69]	2007
Forceville	14/31	13/29	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12.88	1.01 [0.58, 1.76]	2007
Mishra	11/18	15/22	_ _	18.58	0.90 [0.56, 1.43]	2007
Berger 2008	14/102	9/98		6.47	1.49 [0.68, 3.29]	2008
Total (95% CI)	358	365	•	100.00	0.85 [0.69, 1.04]	
Total events: 99 (Selenium),	120 (Control)		-			
Test for heterogeneity: Chi2 :	= 7.01, df = 9 (P = 0.64), l ² = 09	%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	.59 (P = 0.11)					
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2	5 10		

Favours selenium Favours control

 Figure 3.
 Studies using Parenteral Selenium alone

 Review:
 Antioxidants (Version 01)

 Comparison:
 04 Antioxidants (Selenium; single+ combined)

 Outcome:
 01 Mortality

Study or sub-category	Selenium n/N	Control n/N	RR (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	RR (random) 95% Cl	Year
Kuklinski	0/8	8/9	4	1.05	0 07 10 00 0 981	1991
Zimmermen	3/20	0/20	` <u> </u>	E 12		1997
Apartus mp	5/20	11/21		11 41	0.56 [0.12, 1.21]	1997
Berger 2001a	2/9	1/12		→ 1.52	2.67 [0.28, 25.04]	2001
Angstwurm 2007	46/116	61/122		33.07	0.79 [0.60, 1.06]	2007
Forceville	14/31	13/29		16.73	1.01 [0.58, 1.76]	2007
Mishra	11/18	15/22		21.05	0.90 [0.56, 1.43]	2007
Berger 2008	14/102	9/98		10.06	1.49 [0.68, 3.29]	2008
Total (95% CI)	325	333	•	100.00	0.84 [0.64, 1.11]	
Total events: 97 (Selenium),	126 (Control)					
Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² =	= 9.63, df = 7 (P = 0.21), l² = 27	.3%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.	.21 (P = 0.22)					
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2	5 10		
			Favours selenium Favours con	ntrol		

Figure 4. Studies using Parenteral Selenium alone: Sensitivity Analysis without Kuklinski

199'		~~	95% CI	n/N	selenium n/N	study or sub-catedorv
199'						
1000	38 [0.12, 1.21]	3.06		8/20	3/20	Zimmerman
1999	54 [0.31, 1.32]	7.88		11/21	7/21	Angstwurm
2001	57 [0.28, 25.04]	→ 0.84		1/12	2/9	Berger 2001a
2007	79 [0.60, 1.06]	49.09		61/122	46/116	Angstwurm 2007
2007	01 [0.58, 1.76]	13.31	+	13/29	14/31	Forceville
2007	90 [0.56, 1.43]	19.09	_ _	15/22	11/18	Mishra
2008	19 [0.68, 3.29]	6.73		9/98	14/102	Berger 2008
	35 [0.69, 1.04]	100.00	•	324	317	Fotal (95% CI)
			-		18 (Control)	Total events: 97 (Selenium), 11
				2%	6.07, df = 6 (P = 0.42), l ² = 1.2	Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = I
	49 [0.68, 3.29] 35 [0.69, 1.04]	6.73 100.00	•	9/98 324 2%	14/102 317 18 (Control) 6.07, df = 6 (P = 0.42), I ² = 1.2 56 (P = 0.12)	Berger 2008 Total (95% Cl) Total events: 97 (Selenium), 11 Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1 Test for overall effect: 7 = 1 5

Favours selenium Favours control

Figure 5.

Review:	Antioxidants (Version 01)
Comparison:	04 Antioxidants (Selenium; single+ combined)
Outcome:	02 Infectious Complications

Study or sub-category	Selenium n/N	Control n/N	RR (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	RR (random) 95% Cl	Year
Porter Berger 2001a Berger 2001b Angstwurm 2007	5/9 5/9 3/11 10/116	8/9 5/12 5/12 10/122		19.56 9.78 5.60 10.98	0.63 [0.33, 1.17] 1.33 [0.55, 3.24] 0.65 [0.20, 2.12] 1.05 [0.45, 2.43]	1999 2001 2001 2007
Berger 2008	36/102	34/98	T	54.08	1.02 [0.70, 1.48]	2008
Total (95% CI) Total events: 59 (Selenium), Test for heterogeneity: Chi ² = Test for overall effect: Z = 0	247 62 (Control) = 2.88, df = 4 (P = 0.58), l² = 0° 51 (P = 0.61)	253	•	100.00	0.93 [0.70, 1.23]	
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2	5 10		
			Favours selenium Favours (control		

Figure 6. Review: Comparison: Outcome: Antioxidants (Version 01) 01 Antioxidants (single + combined) vs standard 03 ICU Length of Stay

Study or sub-category	N	Antioxidants Mean (SD)	N	Control Mean (SD)		WMD (random 95% Cl	n) Weight %	VVMD (random) 95% Cl	Year
Berger 1998	10	30.00(12.00)	10	39.00(13.00)	+-		2.87	-9.00 [-19.97, 1.97]	
Porter	9	22.00(25.20)	9	35.80(21.90)	←		0.75	-13.80 [-35.61, 8.01]	1999
Berger 2001a	9	8.00(4.00)	12	8.60(8.10)			10.66	-0.60 [-5.88, 4.68]	2001
Berger 2001b	11	5.80(4.40)	12	8.60(8.10)			10.69	-2.80 [-8.07, 2.47]	2001
Angstwurm 2007	116	15.10(10.00)	122	12.70(9.00)		_	29.97	2.40 [-0.02, 4.82]	2007
Berger 2007	11	35.00(27.00)	10	47.00(37.00)	←		0.46	-12.00 [-39.94, 15.94]	2007
Mishra	18	21.30(16.20)	22	20.80(21.80)	←		2.50	0.50 [-11.29, 12.29]	2007
Berger 2008	102	5.80(5.40)	98	5.40(5.70)			42.08	0.40 [-1.14, 1.94]	2008
Total (95% Cl)	286		295			-	100.00	0.12 [-1.79, 2.03]	
Test for heterogeneity: Chi	² = 9.36, df = 7 (P	= 0.23), I ^z = 25.2%				Г			
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.12 (P = 0.90)								
					-10	-5 0	5 10		
					Favours	antioxidants Favo	ours control		

TOPIC: <u>11.2 Antioxidant Nutrients: Parenteral Selenium (alone or in</u> <u>combination)</u>

Article inclusion log

Criteria for study selection

Type of study: RCT or Meta-analysis

Population: critically ill ventilated patients (no elective surgery patients) Intervention: EN

Outcomes: mortality, LOS, QOL, functional recovery, complications, cost. Exclude studies with only biochemical, metabolic or nutritional outcomes.

	Author	Journal	I	Ε	Why rejected
1	Sawyer(Se, NAC, vit E,C)	C.C. Medicine 1989			Abstract only
2	Uden (Se, Vit A, E)	Alim Pharmac Ther 1990			Not ICU patients
3	Kuklinski (Selenium)	Gestame Inn Med 1991			
4	Uden (Se, Vit A, E)	Alim Pharmac Ther 1992		\checkmark	Not ICU patients
5	Young (Zinc)	J of Neurotrauma 1996			
6	Lehmann (Se)	Med Klin 1997		\checkmark	No clinical outcomes
7	Zimmermann (Selenium)	Medi Klinik 1997			
8	Berger (Selenium & trace elements)	Am J Clin Nutr 1998	\checkmark		
9	Saito (Selenium)	Neurosurgery 1998		\checkmark	Not ICU patients
10	Yamaguchi (Selenium)	Stroke 1998		\checkmark	Not ICU patients
11	Angstrum (Selenium)	CCMedicine 1999			
12	Heaney (Se, Vit A, E, C)	J Clin Endocrin Met 1999		\checkmark	Not ICU patients
13	Ogawa (Selenium)	Cerebrovas Dis 1999		\checkmark	Not ICU patients
14	Porter (selenium, Vit E, C and N-acetylcysteine)	Am Surgeon 1999	\checkmark		
15	Berger (Selenium, Zinc & α tocopherol)	Int Care Med 2001	\checkmark		
16	Berger	Nut Res 2001	V		Same study as Berger 2001: Int Care Med. Data is combined and presented as Berger 2001
17	Angstwurm	European J Endocrin 2004		\checkmark	Duplicate study of Angstrum 1999
18	Heyland	Intensive Care Med 2005		V	Meta-analysis, Individual studies looked at
19	Angstwurm (Selenium)	CCMed 2007			
20	Forceville	Critical Care 2007			
21	Mishra	Clinical Nutrition 2007			
22	Berger (Se, other vits)	Critical Care 2008			

I = included, E = excluded

References

- 1. Sawyer MA, Mike JJ, Chavin K, Marino PL (1989) Antioxidant therapy and survival in ARDS. Crit Care Med 17: S153 (abstract)
- 2. Uden S, Bilton D, Nathan L, Hunt LP, Mains C, Braganza JM (1990) Antioxidant therapy for recurrent pancreatitis: placebo-controlled trial. Aliment Pharmacol Therap 4: 357-371
- 3. Heyland DK, Dhaliwal R, Suchner U, Berger MM. Antioxidant nutrients: a systematic review of trace elements and vitamins in the critically ill patient. Intensive Care Med. 2005 Mar;31(3):327-37.
- 4. Kuklinski B, Buchner M, Muller T, Schweder R (1992) [Anti-oxidative therapy of pancreatitis--an 18-month interim evaluation] Z Gesamte Inn Med 47:239-245
- 5. Berger MM, Recmond MJ, Shenkin A, Rey F, Wardle C, Cayeux C, Schindler C, Chiolero (2001) Influence of selenium supplements on the post-traumatic alterations of the thyroid axis: a placebo-controlled trial. Intensive Care Med 27:91-100
- 6. Lehmann C, Egerer K, Weber M, Krausch D, Wauer H, Newie T, Kox WJ (1997) Effect of selenium administration on various laboratory parameters of patients at risk for sepsis syndrome. Med Klin 15 (Suppl 3):14-16
- 7. Zimmermann T, Albrecht S, Kühne H, Vogelsang U, Grützmann R, Kopprasch S (1997) Selensubstitution bei Sepsispatienten, Med Klin 92 (Suppl III):3-4
- Berger MM, Spertini F, Shenkin A, Wardle C, Wiesner L, Schindler C, Chioléro RL (1998) Trace element supplementation modulates pulmonary infection rates after major burns: a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 68:365-371
- Saito I, Asano T, Sano K, Takakura K, Abe H, Yoshimoto T, Kikuchi H, Ohta T, Ishibashi S (1998) Neuroprotective effect of an antioxidant, ebselen, in patients with delayed neurological deficits after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. Neurosurgery 42:269-277
- Yamaguchi T, Sano K, Takakura K, Saito I, Shinohara Y, Asano T, Yasuhara H (1998) Ebselen in acute ischemic stroke: a placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial. Ebselen Study Group. Stroke 29:12-17
- 11. Angstwurm MW, Schottdorf J, Schopohl J, Gaertner R (1999) Selenium replacement in patients with severe systemic inflammatory response syndrome improves clinical outcome. Crit Care Med 27:1807-1813
- 12. Heaney AP, Sharer N, Rameh B, Braganza JM, Durrington PN. Prevention of recurrent pancreatitis in familial lipoprotein lipase deficiency with high-dose antioxidant therapy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1999 Apr;84(4):1203-5.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

- Ogawa A, Yoshimoto T, Kikuchi H, Sano K, Saito I, Yamaguchi T, Yasuhara H. Ebselen in acute middle cerebral artery occlusion: a placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial. Cerebrovasc Dis. 1999 Mar-Apr;9(2):112-8.
- Porter JM, Ivatury RR, Azimuddin K, Swami R (1999) Antioxidant therapy in the prevention of organ dysfunction syndrome and infectious complications after trauma: early results of a prospective randomized study. Am Surg 65:478-483
- 15. Berger MM, Recmond MJ, Shenkin A, Rey F, Wardle C, Cayeux C, Schindler C, Chiolero (2001) Influence of selenium supplements on the post-traumatic alterations of the thyroid axis: a placebo-controlled trial. Intensive Care Med 27:91-100
- Berger MM, Baines M, Chiolero R, Wardle C, Cayeux, Shenkin A (2001) Influence of early trace element and vitamin E supplements on antioxidant status after major trauma: a controlled trial. N. Research 21:41-54
- Angstwurm MW, Schopohl J, Gaertner R. Selenium substitution has no direct effect on thyroid hormone metabolism in critically ill patients. Eur J Endocrinol. 2004 Jul;151(1):47-54.
- Heyland DK, Dhaliwal R, Suchner U, Berger MM. Antioxidant nutrients: a systematic review of trace elements and vitamins in the critically ill patient. Intensive Care Med. 2005 Mar;31(3):327-37.
- Angstwurm MW, Engelmann L, Zimmermann T, Lehmann C et al. Selenium in Intensive Care (SIC): results of a prospective randomized, placebo-controlled, multiple-center study in patients with severe systemic inflammatory response syndrome, sepsis, and septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2007;35(1):118-26.
- Forceville X, Laviolle B, Annane D, Vitoux D, Bleichner G, Korach JM, Cantais E, Georges H, Soubirou JL, Combes A, Bellissant E. Effects of high doses of selenium, as sodium selenite, in septic shock: a placebo-controlled, randomized, double-blind, phase II study. Crit Care. 2007;11(4):R73.
- 21. Mishra V, Baines M, Perry SE, McLaughlin PJ, Carson J, Wenstone R, Shenkin A. Effect of selenium supplementation on biochemical markers and outcome in critically ill patients. Clin Nutr. 2007 Feb;26(1):41-50.
- 22. Berger MM, Soguel L, Shenkin A, Revelly JP, Pinget C, Baines M, Chioléro RL. Influence of early antioxidant supplements on clinical evolution and organ function in critically ill cardiac surgery, major trauma, and subarachnoid hemorrhage patients. Crit Care. 2008;12(4):R101