## 4.1b(i) Composition of Enteral Nutrition: Fish Oils, Borage Oils and Antioxidants\*

May 2015

2015 Recommendation: Based on 3 level 1 studies and 5 level 2 studies, the use of an enteral formula with fish oils, borage oils and antioxidants in patients with Acute Lung Injury (ALI) and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) should be considered.

**2015 Discussion:** With the addition of 1 new study (Kagan 2015), the committee acknowledged that the lack of a treatment effect of enteral fish oils, borage oils and antioxidants remained. The sparse data on ventilator associated pneumonia i.e. 3 studies showing no effect, was noted. There were concerns about the adequacy of the control group in several studies (i.e. high fat formula, additional protein). Since the delivery of fish oil or fish oil/borage oil/antioxidant components as a bolus could have diminished the treatment effect, a sensitivity analysis with and without the Rice 2011 was done. A significant effect on 28 day mortality was only seen when this study was excluded. The committee agreed that the signals from the Grau-Carmona study, the first large, multicenter trial that used a 'usual care' control solution and had results were negative results still had to be considered and it was agreed to continue with a recommendation for 'should be considered'.

2013 Recommendation: Based on 2 level 1 studies and 5 level 2 studies, the use of an enteral formula with fish oils, borage oils and antioxidants in patients with Acute Lung Injury (ALI) and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) should be considered.

**Discussion 2013:** The committee noted that with the addition of 3 new studies (Rice 2011, Grau-Carmona 2011, and Thiella 2011) and the update of an earlier study (Elamin 2012), the overall treatment effect on mortality decreased. There were concerns about the adequacy of the control group in the large multicentre study Rice 2011 in which the placebo solution contained 20 extra grams of protein. In addition the fish oil/borage oil/antioxidant components were administered as a bolus, which may have diminished the treatment effect. Hence a sensitivity analysis with and without the Rice 2011 study was conducted. A significant effect on 28 day mortality was only seen when the Rice study was excluded. It was further noted that the Grau-Carmona study was the first large, multicenter trial that used a 'usual care' control solution and the results were negative. After much deliberation, the committee downgraded the recommendation to 'should be considered' because of the aforementioned uncertainties.

## **Semi Quantitative Scoring**

| Values                      | Definition  | 2009 Score | 2013 Score | 2015 Score<br>(0,1,2,3) |
|-----------------------------|---|------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Effect size                 | Magnitude of the absolute risk reduction attributable to the intervention listeda higher score indicates a larger effect size   | 1          | 1          | 1                       |
| Confidence interval         | 95% confidence interval around the point estimate of the absolute risk reduction, or the pooled estimate (if more than one trial)a higher score indicates a smaller confidence interval   | 1          | 1          | 1                       |
| Validity                    | Refers to internal validity of the study (or studies) as measured by the presence of concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication, an intention to treat analysis, and an explicit definition of outcomesa higher score indicates presence of more of these features in the trials appraised | 2          | 2          | 2                       |
| Homogeneity/Reproducibility | Similar direction of findings among trialsa higher score indicates greater similarity of direction of findings among trials   | 0          | 0          | 0                       |
| Adequacy of control group   | Extent to which the control group represented standard of care (large dissimilarities = 1, minor dissimilarities=2, usual care=3)   | 0          | 0          | 0                       |
| Biological plausibility     | Consistent with understanding of mechanistic and previous clinical work (large inconsistencies =1, minimal inconsistencies =2, very consistent =3)  | 2          | 2          | 2                       |
| Generalizability            | Likelihood of trial findings being replicated in other settings (low likelihood i.e. single centre =1, moderate likelihood i.e. multicentre with limited patient population or practice setting =2, high likelihood i.e. multicentre, heterogeneous patients, diverse practice settings =3.           | 2          | 2          | 2                       |
| Cost                        | Estimated cost of implementing the intervention listeda higher score indicates a lower cost to implement the intervention in an average ICU   | 2          | 2          | 2                       |
| Feasible                    | Ease of implementing the intervention listeda higher score indicates greater ease of implementing the intervention in an average ICU  | 2          | 2          | 2                       |
| Safety                      | Estimated probability of avoiding any significant harm that may be associated with the intervention listeda higher score indicates a lower probability of harm  | 2          | 2          | 2                       |

<sup>\*</sup> refers to formula containing fish oils, borage oils and antioxidants

## 4.1b(i) Composition of Enteral Nutrition: Fish Oils, Borage Oils and Antioxidants\*

Question: Does the use of an enteral formula with fish oils, borage oils and antioxidants result in improved clinical outcomes in the critically ill adult patient?

Summary of evidence: There were 3 level 1 and 5 level 2 studies reviewed and 7 of these used Oxepa®, an enteral formula with fish oils, borage oils, antioxidants, vit. E, C, beta-carotene, taurine & L-carnitine as a continuous formula, one used the components of the same formula but administered it as a bolus (Rice 2011). Of these, 6 studies used the special diets as treatments for patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS)/Acute Lung Injury (ALI), one used the special diets prophylactically in multiple trauma/head injury patients (Kagan 2015) and one study looked at effects of the fish oil/borage oil formula on the healing of pressure ulcers (Theilla 2011). The earlier Moran 2006 study was replaced by the recent Grau-Carmona 2011 study and the earlier Miller 2005 study that was in abstract form was replaced by Elamin 2012. The INTERSEPT study (Pontes-Arruda 2011) was excluded as less than 50% patients were mechanically ventilated.

In the Rice study, participants were also randomized to a separate trial (EDEN study) comparing low vs full enteral nutrition in a 2X2 factorial design in which the control group received significantly more protein. For more for details on the low vs full enteral nutrition, refer to section 3.3 Intentional Underfeeding: Trophic Feeds. Two studies used a fish oil only supplement; one as a bolus (Stapleton 2011) and another as soft gel capsules (Parish 2014). These studies are covered under the section 4.1(b-ii): Fish Oils.

Since the delivery of the intervention through bolus vs continuous may affect blood levels (absorption), sensitivity analyses excluding the study that used bolus administration (Rice 2011) were done.

**Mortality:** When the data from the 8 studies that reported on mortality were aggregated, the use of Oxepa® and/or fish oil supplementation had no effect on mortality (RR 0.87, 95% CI 0.62, 1.22, p=0.41, heterogeneity I<sup>2</sup>=50%; figure 1).). When a sensitivity analyses was done excluding the Rice 2011 study, the use of Oxepa® formula was associated with a significant reduction in 28 day mortality (RR 0.72, 95% CI 0.56, 0.92, p=0.008, heterogeneity I<sup>2</sup>=0%; figure 2).

**Infections:** Three multicentre studies reported on ventilator associated pneumonia and found no significant differences between the groups (RR 1.07, 95% CI 0.82, 1.69, p=0.63, heterogeneity I<sup>2</sup>=0%; figure 3).

LOS and Ventilator days: When the data from the 5 studies were aggregated, the use of Oxepa® /fish oil supplement was associated with a trend in the reduction of ICU length of stay (WMD -2.44, 95% CI -4.97, 0.09, p=0.06, significant heterogeneity present, I²=82%; figure 4). In two of the studies, the data was not represented as means ± standard deviations, hence was not included in the meta-analyses and 1 study reported on ICU free days, showing a significant reduction in ICU free days with the use of fish oil supplementation (Rice 2011, p=0.04). When the data from the 4 studies were aggregated, the use of Oxepa®/fish oil supplementation was associated with a significant reduction in ventilated days (WMD -3.22, 95% CI -6.32, -0.03, p=0.05, significant heterogeneity present, I²=89%; figure 4). In two of the studies, the data was not represented as means ± standard deviations, hence was not included in the meta-analyses (Grau-Carmona 2011 & Elamin 2012) and in 2 studies ventilator free days were reported. Rice et al reported a significant reduction in vent free days in the fish oil

group (p=0.02) while Elamin et al and Grau-Carmona et al reported no difference in ventilator dependent days (p=0.3 and p=0.4 respectively). reported no difference in ventilator dependent days (p=0.3).

Other complications: The use of Oxepa® was associated with a significant reduction in number of new organ failures in 2 studies (Gadek 1999 p=0.018) (Pontes-Arruda 2006, p< 0.0010), and a significant reduction in MODS score after 28-days in one study (Elamin 2005, p<0.05). However, in another study (Grau-Carmona 2011), the median SOFA score was 9 (IQ range: 7-11) and the number of organ failures was similar in both groups. Kagan 2015 found no difference in the development of new organ failures (p=0.27). In two studies, Oxepa® was associated with an improvement in oxygenation, pulmonary static compliance and resistance (Gadek 1999, Singer 2006). There were no differences in GI events between the groups (p=0.82) in one study (Gadek 1999).

## **Conclusions:**

- 1) Bolus supplementation of fish oil/borage oil/antioxidants vs placebo has no effect on mortality or infections in critically ill patients.
- 2) Bolus supplementation of fish oil/borage oil and antioxidants is associated with a significant reduction in ventilator free days.
- 3) When compared to a standard/high fat formula, the use of an enteral formula with fish oil/borage oil and antioxidants administered continuously is associated with a significant reduction in 28 day mortality in patients with ALI/ARDS
- 4) When compared to a standard/high fat formula, the use of an enteral formula with fish oil/borage oil and antioxidants administered continuously is associated with a trend in the reduction of ICU length of stay in patients with ALI/ARDS and pressure ulcers.
- 5) When compared to a standard/high fat formula, the use of an enteral formula with fish oil/borage oil and antioxidants administered continuously is associated with a significant reduction in duration of ventilation in patients with ALI/ARDS.

**Level 1 study:** if all of the following are fulfilled: concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication and an intention to treat analysis. **Level 2 study:** If any one of the above characteristics are unfulfilled.

Table 1. Randomized studies evaluating enteral formula with fish oils, borage oils and antioxidants in critically ill patients

| Study                     | Population   | Methods  | Intervention   | Mortali                       | ty # (%)                      | Infections # (%)                               |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Olddy                     | ropulation   | (score)  | intervention   | Fish Oils                     | Standard                      | Fish Oils                                      | Standard                                       |  |
| 1)Gadek 1999              | ARDS patients from 5<br>ICUs<br>N=146                                      | C.Random: yes<br>ITT: yes<br>Blinding: yes<br>(13)         | Fish oil, borage oil +antioxidants Oxepa<br>®) vs standard high fat, low CHO<br>(Pulmocare†)<br>Received 9.8 gms/day fish oils<br>(EPA+DHA††)  | <b>28-day</b><br>11/70 (16)   | <b>28-day</b><br>19/76 (25)   | NR   | NR   |  |
| 2)Singer 2006             | ARDS and acute lung injury patients N=100                                  | C.Random: yes<br>ITT: yes<br>Blinding: no<br>(11)          | Fish oil, borage oil +antioxidants<br>Oxepa ®) vs standard high fat, low<br>CHO (Pulmocare†)   | <b>28-day</b><br>14/46 (30)   | <b>28-day</b><br>26/49 (53)   | NR   | NR   |  |
| 3) Pontes-<br>Arruda 2006 | Severe sepsis or septic<br>shock patients with ALI<br>from 3 ICUs<br>N=165 | C.Random: not sure<br>ITT: yes*<br>Blinding: double<br>(7) | Fish oil, borage oil +antioxidants ((Oxepa ®) vs standard high fat, low CHO (Pulmocare†). Received 7.1 gms/day of fish oils ((EPA+DHA††)   | <b>28-day</b><br>26/83** (31) | <b>28-day</b><br>38/82** (46) | NR   | NR   |  |
| 4) Rice 2011              | ALI patients,<br>mechanically ventilated<br>from 44 ICUs<br>N=272          | C.Random: yes<br>ITT: yes<br>Blinding: yes<br>(13)         | Fish Oil supplement (6.84g EPA, 3.4g DHA, 5.92g GLA) with 5.8 gms protein, Vit C, E, beta-carotene, selenium 120 ms boluses X2 day vs. isovolemic control solution (no EPA/DHA) with 52 gms protein, Both groups receieved EN feeding. | <b>60-day</b><br>38/143 (27)  | <b>60-day</b><br>21/129 (16)  | VAP<br>10/143 (7)<br>Bacteremia<br>16/143 (11) | VAP<br>10/129 (8)<br>Bacteremia<br>14/129 (11) |  |
| 5) Grau-<br>Carmona 2011  | Septic patients with ALI<br>or ARDS<br>N=160                               | C.Random: no<br>ITT: no<br>Blinding: yes<br>(5)            | Fish oil, borage oil + antioxidants<br>(Oxepa ®) 52.5g Pro/L vs. isocaloric,<br>isonitrogenous, high protein formula<br>(Ensure Plus) 66.6g Pro/L isocaloric   | <b>28-day</b><br>11/61 (18)   | <b>28-day</b><br>11/71 (16)   | <b>VAP</b><br>32/61 (53)                       | <b>VAP</b><br>34/71 (48)                       |  |
| 6) Thiella 2011           | ICU patients with pressure ulcers N=40                                     | C.Random: no<br>ITT: yes<br>Blinding: no<br>(5)            | Fish oil, borage oil + antioxidants 66.1 gm pro/day (Oxepa ®) vs. Isocaloric/isonitrogenous polymeric formula (Jevity) 65.1 gm pro /day  | NR                            | NR                            | NR   | NR   |  |

| 7) Elamin 2012 | ARDS patients from 2<br>ICUs<br>N = 22                          | C.Random: yes<br>ITT: no<br>Blinding: double<br>(7)   | EN formula containing fish oil, borage oil and antioxidants (Oxepa) vs EN formula of standard high fat vs low CHO (Pulmocare) | <b>28-day</b><br>0/9 (0)   | <b>28-day</b><br>1/8 (12.5) | NR   | NR  |
|----------------|---|---|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|
| 8) Kagan 2015  | Multiple trauma or head injury patients from a single ICU N=120 | C.Random: yes<br>ITT: yes<br>Blinding: double<br>(10) | EN formula containing fish oil, borage oil and antioxidants (Oxepa) vs EN formula of standard high fat/low CHO (Pulmocare)    | <b>28-day</b><br>8/62 (13) | <b>28-day</b><br>5/58 (8)   | VAP 25/62 (40%) Wound infection 12/62 Bacteremia 14/62 New organ failure 31/62 | VAP 22/58 (38%) Wound infection 10/58 Bacteremia 3/58 New organ failure 23/58 |

Table 1. Randomized studies evaluating enteral formula with fish oils, borage oils and antioxidants in critically ill patients (continued)

| Study                    | Length of   | Stay (days)   | Duration of Ve                     | entilation (days)                  | Other  |
|--------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
|                          | Fish Oils   | Standard  | Fish Oils                          | Standard                           | Fish Oils Standard   |
| 1) Gadek 1999            | ICU<br>11 ± 0.9 (70)<br>Hospital<br>27.9 ± 2.1 (70) | ICU<br>14.8 ± 1.3 (72)<br>Hospital<br>31.1 ± 2.4 (72) | 9.6 ± 0.9 (70)                     | 13.2 ± 1.4 (72)                    | <b>New Organ Failures</b><br>7/70 (10) 19/76 (25)                            |
| 2) Singer 2006           | ICU<br>13.5 ± 11.8 (46)**                           | ICU<br>15.6 ± 11.8 (49)**                             | 12.1 ± 11.3 (46)**                 | 14.7 ± 12 (49)**                   |  |
| 3) Pontes-Arruda<br>2006 | ICU<br>17.2 ± 4.9 (55)**                            | ICU<br>23.4 ± 3.5 (48)**                              | 14.64 ± 4.3 (55)**                 | 22.19 ± 5.1 (48)**                 | New Organ Dysfunction 38% 81%  |
| 4) Rice 2011             | ICU Free Days<br>14.0 ±10.5                         | ICU Free Days<br>16.7 ± 9.5                           | Ventilator-free Days<br>14.0 ±11.1 | Ventilator-free Days<br>17.2 ±10.2 | Non-pulmonary Organ Failure-free Days<br>12.3 ± 11.1 15.5 ± 11.4             |
| 5) Grau-Carmona<br>2011  | ICU<br>16 (11-25)                                   | <b>ICU</b><br>18 (10-30)                              | 10 (6-14)                          | 9 (6-18)                           | Nutritional Intake 1 (kcal/day)<br>718 (1189-1965) 1599 (1351-1976)<br>p=0.5 |
| 6) Thiella 2011          | ICU<br>26.1 ± 14.2 (20)                             | ICU<br>21.2 ± 9.1 (20)                                | NR                                 | NR                                 | Change in Pressure Ulcers Scale 1.5 0.3 p≤0.05                               |

| 7) Elamin 2012 | ICU<br>12.8   | ICU<br>17.5   | 6.7              | 8.2                | MODS Score at 7 days Lower in fish oil group (p<0.06) MODS Score at 28 days Lower in fish oil group (p<0.05) |
|----------------|---|---|------------------|--------------------|--|
| 8) Kagan 2015  | ICU<br>19.5 <u>+</u> 15.3 (62)<br>Hospital<br>33.1 <u>+</u> 25.7 (62) | ICU<br>16.4 <u>+</u> 11.3 (58)<br>Hospital<br>27.1 <u>+</u> 17.3 (58) | 17 <u>+</u> 15.1 | 13.6 <u>+</u> 10.7 | <b>New organ failure</b><br>31/62 23/58, p=0.27  |

<sup>†</sup> Fat source of Pulmocare varied between the studies: Gadek 1999 study used product that had 97 % corn oil, 3% soy lecithin; Singer 2006 and Pontes-Arruda 2006 used product that had 14 % corn oil, 20% MCT,56 %

†† EPA: Eicosapentanoic acid, DHA: docosahexanoic acid
\* data on mortality is Intent-to-treat
\*\* data obtained from authors

C.Random: concealed randomization

ITT: intent to treat

# assumed to be hospital mortality unless specified

\* refers to the # of patients with infections unless specified

 $\pm$  (): mean  $\pm$  Standard deviation (number)

NR: not reported

Figure 1. Mortality

|                            | Fish C                 | lls      | Standa      | ard     |             | Risk Ratio          |      | Risk Ratio   |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------------------|------|--|
| Study or Subgroup          | Events                 | Total    | Events      | Total   | Weight      | M-H, Random, 95% CI | Year | M-H, Random, 95% CI  |
| Gadek                      | 11                     | 70       | 19          | 76      | 15.3%       | 0.63 [0.32, 1.23]   | 1999 |  |
| Singer                     | 14                     | 46       | 26          | 49      | 19.0%       | 0.57 [0.34, 0.96]   | 2006 |  |
| Pontes-Arruda 2006         | 26                     | 83       | 38          | 82      | 22.0%       | 0.68 [0.46, 1.00]   | 2006 | <del></del>  |
| Rice                       | 38                     | 143      | 21          | 129     | 19.8%       | 1.63 [1.01, 2.63]   | 2011 | <del></del>  |
| Grau-Carmona               | 11                     | 61       | 11          | 71      | 13.4%       | 1.16 [0.54, 2.49]   | 2011 | <del>-  -</del>  |
| Elamin                     | 0                      | 9        | 1           | 8       | 1.5%        | 0.30 [0.01, 6.47]   | 2012 | <del></del>  |
| Kagan                      | 8                      | 62       | 5           | 58      | 9.0%        | 1.50 [0.52, 4.31]   | 2015 | -  |
| Total (95% CI)             |                        | 474      |             | 473     | 100.0%      | 0.88 [0.60, 1.29]   |      | •  |
| Total events               | 108                    |          | 121         |         |             |                     |      |  |
| Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0    | 0.13; Chi <sup>a</sup> | = 13.8   | 8, df = 6 ( | P = 0.0 | 3); l² = 57 | '%                  |      | 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10                                       |
| Test for overall effect: Z | (= 0.65 (F             | P = 0.50 | 2)          |         |             |                     |      | 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10<br>Favours Fish Oils Favours Standard |

Figure 2. Mortality (without Rice 2011)

|                            | Fish C       | lls      | Standa      | ard      |             | Risk Ratio          |      |     |     | Risk          | Ratio       |             |    |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------------------|------|-----|-----|---------------|-------------|-------------|----|
| Study or Subgroup          | Events       | Total    | Events      | Total    | Weight      | M-H, Random, 95% CI | Year |     |     | M-H, Rando    | om, 95% CI  |             |    |
| Gadek                      | 11           | 70       | 19          | 76       | 14.7%       | 0.63 [0.32, 1.23]   | 1999 |     |     | -             | _           |             |    |
| Pontes-Arruda 2006         | 26           | 83       | 38          | 82       | 42.2%       | 0.68 [0.46, 1.00]   | 2006 |     |     | _             |             |             |    |
| Singer                     | 14           | 46       | 26          | 49       | 25.2%       | 0.57 [0.34, 0.96]   | 2006 |     |     |               |             |             |    |
| Grau-Carmona               | 11           | 61       | 11          | 71       | 11.3%       | 1.16 [0.54, 2.49]   | 2011 |     |     | -             | -           |             |    |
| Elamin                     | 0            | 9        | 1           | 8        | 0.7%        | 0.30 [0.01, 6.47]   | 2012 | •   |     |               |             |             |    |
| Kagan                      | 8            | 62       | 5           | 58       | 5.9%        | 1.50 [0.52, 4.31]   | 2015 |     |     |               | •           | _           |    |
| Total (95% CI)             |              | 331      |             | 344      | 100.0%      | 0.71 [0.55, 0.92]   |      |     |     | •             |             |             |    |
| Total events               | 70           |          | 100         |          |             |                     |      |     |     |               |             |             |    |
| Heterogeneity: Tau² = (    | 0.00; Chi²   | = 4.72   | , df = 5 (F | 9 = 0.45 | i); l² = 0% |                     |      | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 1         | 1           | <del></del> | 10 |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | Z = 2.61 (8) | P = 0.00 | 09)         |          |             |                     |      | 0.1 |     | urs Fish Oils | Favours Sta | andard      | 10 |

Figure 3. Ventilator Associated Pneumonia

|   | Fish 0 | ils   | Stand  | ard      |             | Risk Ratio          |      | Risk Ratio   |
|---|--------|-------|--------|----------|-------------|---------------------|------|--|
| Study or Subgroup   | Events | Total | Events | Total    | Weight      | M-H, Random, 95% CI | Year | M-H, Random, 95% CI                                      |
| Grau-Carmona  | 32     | 61    | 34     | 71       | 57.4%       | 1.10 [0.78, 1.54]   | 2011 | +  |
| Rice  | 10     | 143   | 10     | 129      | 9.4%        | 0.90 [0.39, 2.10]   | 2011 | <del></del>  |
| Kagan   | 25     | 62    | 22     | 58       | 33.3%       | 1.06 [0.68, 1.66]   | 2015 | +  |
| Total (95% CI)  |        | 266   |        | 258      | 100.0%      | 1.07 [0.82, 1.38]   |      | •  |
| Total events  | 67     |       | 66     |          |             |                     |      |  |
| Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =<br>Test for overall effect: |        |       | -      | (P = 0.9 | 1); I² = 09 | 6                   |      | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100<br>Favours Fish Oils Favours [control] |

Figure 4. ICU Length of Stay

|                                   | Fis     | sh Oils | i     | St       | andaro | 1        |        | Mean Difference      |      |     | Mean Di           | fference         |          |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|-------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------------------|------|-----|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Mean    | SD      | Total | Mean     | SD     | Total    | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI   | Year |     | IV, Rando         | m, 95% CI        |          |
| Gadek                             | 11      | 0.9     | 70    | 14.8     | 1.3    | 72       | 32.4%  | -3.80 [-4.17, -3.43] | 1999 |     | -                 |                  |          |
| Pontes-Arruda 2006                | 17.2    | 4.9     | 55    | 23.4     | 3.5    | 48       | 28.7%  | -6.20 [-7.83, -4.57] | 2006 | -   | -                 |                  |          |
| Singer                            | 13.5    | 11.8    | 46    | 15.6     | 11.8   | 49       | 15.2%  | -2.10 [-6.85, 2.65]  | 2006 |     | •                 |                  |          |
| Thiella                           | 26.1    | 14.2    | 20    | 21.2     | 9.1    | 20       | 8.6%   | 4.90 [-2.49, 12.29]  | 2011 |     |                   | -                | <b>→</b> |
| Kagan                             | 19.5    | 15.3    | 62    | 16.4     | 11.3   | 58       | 15.0%  | 3.10 [-1.69, 7.89]   | 2015 |     |                   | -                |          |
| Total (95% CI)                    |         |         | 253   |          |        | 247      | 100.0% | -2.44 [-4.97, 0.09]  |      |     |                   |                  |          |
| Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = |         |         |       | = 4 (P = | 0.000  | 2); l² = | 82%    |                      |      | -10 | -5                | 0 5              | 10       |
| Test for overall effect:          | ∠= 1.89 | (P = 0) | .00)  |          |        |          |        |                      |      |     | Favours Fish Oils | Favours Standard |          |

Figure 5. Duration of Ventilation

| _                          | Fis      | sh Oils        | i       | Sta      | andaro | 1         |        | Mean Difference      |      | Mean Difference                    |
|----------------------------|----------|----------------|---------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------------|------|------------------------------------|
| Study or Subgroup          | Mean     | SD             | Total   | Mean     | SD     | Total     | Weight | IV, Random, 95% CI   | Year | IV, Random, 95% CI                 |
| Gadek                      | 9.6      | 0.9            | 70      | 13.2     | 1.4    | 72        | 32.3%  | -3.60 [-3.99, -3.21] | 1999 | -                                  |
| Singer                     | 12.1     | 11.3           | 46      | 14.7     | 12     | 49        | 19.1%  | -2.60 [-7.29, 2.09]  | 2006 | -                                  |
| Pontes-Arruda 2006         | 14.64    | 4.3            | 55      | 22.19    | 5.1    | 48        | 29.3%  | -7.55 [-9.39, -5.71] | 2006 | <del></del>                        |
| Kagan                      | 17       | 15.1           | 62      | 13.6     | 10.7   | 58        | 19.2%  | 3.40 [-1.26, 8.06]   | 2015 | -                                  |
| Total (95% CI)             |          |                | 233     |          |        | 227       | 100.0% | -3.22 [-6.42, -0.03] |      |                                    |
| Heterogeneity: Tau² =      | 8.16; Ch | ni <b>=</b> 26 | .27, df | = 3 (P < | 0.000  | 01); l² = | = 89%  |                      |      | -10 -5 0 5 10                      |
| Test for overall effect: I | Z = 1.98 | (P = 0.        | .05)    |          |        |           |        |                      |      | Favours Fish Oils Favours Standard |

Table 2. Composition of Fish Oil Containing Formulas Compared to Standard

These values represent the version of these products produced for sale in the United States. Products sold in other countries may have other nutrient values, depending on country specific requirements.

|                                  | Охера  | Pulmocare*   | Jevity 1.5                                     |             |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|-------------|
| Cal/ml                           | 1.5  | 1.5  | 1.5  |             |
| Grams fat/liter                  | 93   | 93   | 49.8   |             |
| Grams n-3/liter                  | 10.15  | 4.8  | 2.4  |             |
| Grams alpha-linolenic acid/liter | 3.1  | 4.8  | 2.4  |             |
| Grams EPA/liter                  | 4.6  | 0  | 0  |             |
| Grams DHA/Liter                  | 2.0  | 0  | 0  |             |
| Grams n-6/liter                  | 18.4   | 18.4   | 13.3   |             |
| Grams linoleic acid/liter        | 14.5   | 18.4   | 13.3   |             |
| Grams GLA/liter                  | 4.29   | 0  | 0  |             |
| Grams n-9 per liter              | 21.7   | 39   | 17.2   |             |
| Grams oleic acid/liter           | 21.7   | 39   | 17.2   |             |
| Grams of MCT oil/liter           | 23.5 grams<br>(25% of fat blend)   | 18.6 grams<br>(20% of fat blend)   | 9.46 grams<br>(19% of fat blend)               | Recommended |
| n6:n3 ratio                      | 1.8:1  | 3.8:1  | 5.5:1  | 2:1 to 4:1  |
| n3:n6 ratio                      | 0.5:1  | 0.26:1   | 0.18:1   |             |
| Oil blend ingredients            | 31.8%Canola oil, 25%<br>MCT oil,<br>20% fish oil,<br>20%borage oil, 3.2%<br>soy lecithin | 55.8%Canola oil,<br>20%MCT oil, 14%corn<br>oil, 7%high oleic acid<br>safflower oil, 3.2% soy<br>lecithin | Canola oil, MCT oil and corn oil, soy lecithin |             |

EPA: Eicosapentanoic acid DHA: docosahexanoic acid GLA: gamma linoleic acid

<sup>\*</sup>Fat source of Pulmocare varied between the studies: Gadek 1999 study used product that had 97 % com oil, 3% soy lecithin; Singer 2006 and Pontes-Arruda 2006 used product that had 14 % com oil, 20% MCT,56 % canola oil.